

NATIONAL POLICY FOR TREATMENT OF RARE DISEASES

Ministry of Health and Family Welfare

Government of India

Table of Contents

Executive summary	3-5
List of Contributors	6
1 Introduction – Burden and definitions of rare diseases	7-8
1.1 What are rare diseases?	
1.2 Global scenario	
1.3 Indian scenario	
2. Rare diseases as a public health issue	9-13
2.1 Lack of epidemiological data	
2.2 Varying definitions and prevalence thresholds	
2.3 Diagnosis of rare diseases	
2.4 Research and development	
2.5 Unavailability and High Cost of treatment	
2.6 Balancing competing priorities of public health	
3. Policy Development	14-20
3.1 Need for a policy	
3.2 Government appointed committees	
3.2.1 Prof. V.K. Paul Committee Report	
3.2.1 Prof. I.C. Verma Sub-Committee Report	
3.2.3 Dr. Deepak Tempe Committee Report	
4. Policy Direction	21-27
4.1 Implementation Mechanism	
4.2 Implementation Strategy	
4.3 Role of Ministries/Department for Inter-sectoral and convergent action to tackle rare diseases	
4.4 Implementation Framework	
4.5 Constitution of Committees	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Rare Diseases

A rare disease is a health condition of particularly low prevalence that affects a small number of people compared with other prevalent diseases in the general population. It is estimated that globally around 6000 to 8000 rare diseases exist with new rare diseases being reported regularly in the medical literature. The prevalence distribution of rare diseases is skewed – 80% of all rare disease patients are affected by approximately 350 rare diseases.

Paradoxically, though rare diseases are of low prevalence and individually rare, collectively they affect a considerable proportion of the population in any country, which according to generally accepted international research is – between 6% and 8%. Rare diseases include genetic diseases, rare cancers, infectious tropic diseases and degenerative diseases. 80% of rare diseases are genetic in origin and hence disproportionately impact children.

There is no universally accepted definition of a rare disease and the definitions usually vary across jurisdictions. However, the common considerations in the definitions are primarily, disease prevalence and to varying extent - severity and existence of alternative therapeutic options. India will have to arrive at its own definition suited to its need, based on a careful consideration of prevalence, disease qualifier and study-ability.

Rare Diseases as a public health issue in India

So far only about 450 rare diseases have been recorded in India. The field of rare diseases is complex, heterogeneous, continuously evolving and suffers from a deficit of medical and scientific knowledge. Rare diseases pose a significant challenge to public health systems in terms of – difficulty in collecting epidemiological data impeding burden and cost estimations, making correct and timely diagnosis, challenges in research and development, unavailability and prohibitive cost of treatment.

Rare diseases constitute a major economic burden independent of a country's size and demographics arising from increased healthcare spending. As resources are limited, there is a macroeconomic allocation dilemma: on one hand, health problems of a much larger number of persons can be addressed by allocating a relatively smaller amount, on the other, for funding treatment of rare diseases, much greater resources will be required for addressing health problems of a relatively smaller number of persons.

Need for a Policy

Rare diseases are, in most cases, serious, chronic, debilitating and life threatening, often requiring long and specialised treatments. In addition, they often result in some form of

handicap, sometimes extremely severe. 50% of new cases are in children and are responsible for 35% of deaths before the age of 1 year, 10% between the ages of 1 and 5 years and 12% between 5 and 15 years.

Further, the impact on families is often catastrophic in terms of emotional as well as financial drain, as the cost of treatment is prohibitively high. This has resulted in parents of children suffering from rare diseases, whose treatment cost were not being covered by insurance or otherwise not being reimbursed, in approaching the courts seeking directions that the government provide the drugs for free. The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P. (C) No. 4444/2016, W.P. (C) No. 7730/2016, and W.P. (C) No. 7729/2013, directed the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare to frame a "national policy on treatment of rare diseases".

Furthermore, a policy is required to devise a multipronged approach to building India's capacity to tackle rare diseases comprehensively, in areas of – epidemiological data for estimating burden, arriving at a definition and for cost estimation of treatment; research and development for treatment and diagnostic modalities, including through international collaboration; training of health care providers; awareness generation; creating conducive environment for drug development and measures for affordability of treatment etc.

Policy Direction

The GOI appointed committees to make recommendations towards formulation of 'Policy on treatment of rare diseases'. The committees made a gamut of recommendations, which were incorporated in this Policy. The Policy highlights the measures and steps, both in the short as well as in the long term, that need to be taken to deal comprehensively with rare diseases. However, recognizing the exorbitant cost of treatment for rare diseases, the policy seeks to strike a balance between access to treatments with health system sustainability.

A. Immediate Measures

- Constituting a Consultative Committee (inter-ministerial) at National Level
- Constituting a Technical cum Administrative Committee at Central as well as State levels for management of and release of corpus funds
- Creating a corpus fund at Central and State Level for part funding treatment of rare diseases
- Creating a Web-based application for online application process
- developing materials for generating awareness in the general public, patients and their families and health care providers.

B. Long term measures: deliberate, concrete steps towards progressive realisation

- Creating a patient registry for rare diseases
- Putting systems in place for reporting and data collection
- Conducting epidemiological study to estimate prevalence of rare diseases
- Arriving at a definition of rare disease based on epidemiological study and reporting
- Taking measures to improve research and development for treatment, diagnostic modalities, care and support, drug development of orphan drugs etc.
- Taking measures, legislative or otherwise, to create a conducive environment for encouraging local manufacturing of orphan drugs and to control the prices of drugs to make them more affordable
- Encouraging funding support from PSUs and corporate sector and exploring other options for sustainable funding for the corpus
- Ensuring insurance coverage for rare diseases, including genetic disorders
- Allowing import of ERTs and removing import duty on them

A multi-sectoral convergent approach to tackling rare diseases

The Policy delineates the role of several ministries in achieving the measures envisaged. Each Ministry and concerned department is required to develop an implementation framework on measures to be taken by them on their sector wise response to tackling rare diseases.

LIST OF CONTRIBUTORS

DRAFT

1. Introduction

1.1 What are Rare Diseases?

A rare disease is a health condition of particularly low prevalence that affects a small number of people compared with other prevalent diseases in the general population. There is no universally accepted definition of rare diseases and the definitions usually vary across jurisdictions. However, the common considerations in the definitions are primarily, disease prevalence and to varying extent - severity and existence of alternative therapeutic options.ⁱ

It is estimated that globally around 6000 to 8000 rare diseases exist with new rare diseases being reported regularly in the medical literature.ⁱⁱ The prevalence distribution of rare diseases is skewed – 80% of all rare disease patients are affected by approximately 350 rare diseases.ⁱⁱⁱ

Paradoxically, though rare diseases are of low prevalence and individually rare, collectively they affect a considerable proportion of the population in any country, which according to generally accepted international research is – between 6% and 8%.^{iv} Rare diseases include genetic diseases, rare cancers, infectious tropic diseases and degenerative diseases.^v 80% of rare diseases are genetic in origin, and thus are present throughout a person's life, even if symptoms do not immediately appear.

1.2 Definitions of rare diseases across jurisdictions

WHO defines rare disease as often debilitating lifelong disease or disorder condition with a prevalence of 1 or less, per 1000 population. However, different countries have their own definitions to suit their specific requirements and in context of their own population, health care system and resources. In the US, rare diseases are defined as a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 patients in the country (6.4 in 10,000 people). EU defines rare diseases as a life-threatening or chronically debilitating condition affecting no more than 5 in 10,000 people. Japan identifies rare diseases as diseases with fewer than 50,000 prevalent cases (0.4%).

Table 1 Definitions of Rare Disease in different countries

S No.	Country	Per 10,000 population
1	USA	6.4
2	Japan	4.0
3	Europe	5.0
4	South Korea	4.0
5	Australia	1.0
6	Taiwan	1.1

Source: The GOI Sub-Committee Report

1.3 The Indian Scenario

India, like many other developing countries, currently has no standard definition of rare diseases. and since there is no epidemiological data, there are no figures on burden and morbidity and mortality associated with rare diseases.

If we apply the international estimate of 6% to 8% of population being affected by rare diseases, to India, we have between 72 to 96 million people affected by rare diseases in the country, which is a significant number. However, this is at best a general estimate.^{vi} Therefore, India will need to arrive at its own estimate and definition of rare diseases, derived chiefly from prevalence data, which is currently lacking.

So far only about 450 rare diseases have been recorded in India.^{vii} The most common rare diseases include Haemophilia, Thalassemia, Sickle-cell Anaemia and Primary Immuno Deficiency in children, auto-immune diseases, Lysosomal storage disorders such as Pompe disease, Hirschsprung disease, Gaucher's disease, Cystic Fibrosis, Hemangiomas and certain forms of muscular dystrophies.

2. Rare Diseases as a public health issue

The field of rare diseases is complex and heterogeneous and suffers from a deficit of medical and scientific knowledge. The landscape of rare diseases is constantly evolving as there are new rare diseases and conditions being identified and reported regularly in medical literature. Apart from few rare diseases, where significant progress has been made, the field is still at a nascent stage. For a long time, doctors, researchers and policy makers were unaware of rare diseases and until very recently there was no real research or public health policy concerning issues related to the field. This makes development of a comprehensive policy on rare diseases, a challenging exercise, as we are blindsided by lack of data and evidence which are fundamental planks of a policy. However, it is important to take steps, in the short as well as long term, with the objective of tackling rare diseases in a holistic and comprehensive manner.

2.1 Lack of epidemiological data

Figures on how many people suffer from different rare diseases in India is lacking. The cases identified so far have been diagnosed at tertiary hospitals^{viii} The lack of epidemiological data on incidence and prevalence of rare diseases impedes understanding of the extent of the burden of rare diseases and development of a definition. It also hampers efforts to arrive at correct estimation of the number of persons suffering from these diseases and describe their associated morbidity and mortality. In such a scenario, the economic burden of most rare diseases is unknown and cannot be adequately estimated from the existing data sets.^{ix}

Although extremely challenging, considering the complexity of various diseases and the difficulty in diagnosis, there is a clear need to undertake a systematic epidemiological study to ascertain the number of people suffering from rare diseases in India.

2.2 Varying definitions and prevalence thresholds

The use of inconsistent definitions and diverse terminology can result in confusion and inconsistencies and have implications for access to treatment and for research and development.^x According to a study^{xi} which reviewed and analysed definitions across jurisdictions, most definitions, as discussed above, appear to consider disease prevalence, but other criteria also apply sometimes, such as - disease severity, whether the disease is life-threatening, whether there are alternative treatment options available, and whether it is heritable. The study found that relatively few definitions (30%) included qualifiers relating to disease severity and/or a lack of existing treatments, whereas most definitions (58%) included a prevalence threshold. The average prevalence thresholds used to define rare diseases ranged among different jurisdictions from 5 to 76 cases/100,000 people, with a global average prevalence threshold of 40 cases/ 100,000 people. The study concluded that attempts at harmonising the differing definitions, should focus on standardizing objective criteria such as prevalence thresholds and avoid qualitative descriptors like severity of the disease.

Disease prevalence may also not be an accurate basis for defining rare diseases, as it does not take into account changes in population over time. Hence, some have suggested that a more reliable approach to arriving at a definition could be based on the factors of – a) location (a disease which is uncommon in one country may be quite common in other parts of the world); b) levels of rarity (some diseases may be much more rare than other diseases which are also uncommon); and c) study-ability (whether the prevalence of a disease lends itself to clinical trials and studies).^{xii}

This underscores the need for further research to better understand the extent of the existing diversity of definitions for rare diseases and to examine the scope of arriving at a definition which is best suited to conditions in India. For arriving at a proper definition of rare diseases, we need to carefully consider prevalence, disease qualifier and study-ability. Due to lack of patient registries and epidemiological data, arriving at a definition on these criteria is not possible, as of now. It shall be done on a priority basis as soon as sufficient data is available.

2.3 Diagnosis of rare diseases

Diagnosis of a rare condition may take up to several years, owing to difficulty in diagnostic modalities and lack of awareness among doctors. For many rare diseases, no diagnostic method exists, or diagnostic facilities are unavailable.^{xiii} Traditional genetic testing can only address a few genes at a time. As a result, physicians must often provide their best guess on which genes to investigate. If the test is negative, further testing will be required, which is an expensive and time-consuming process.

There is a lack of awareness about rare diseases in general public as well as in the medical profession. Many doctors lack appropriate training and awareness to be able to correctly and timely diagnose and treat these conditions.^{xiv} According to patients with rare diseases surveyed for a recent report, it takes US patients an average of 7.6 years and United Kingdom (UK) patients an average of 5.6 years to receive an accurate diagnosis, typically involving as many as eight physicians (four primary care and four specialists). In addition, two to three misdiagnoses are typical before arriving at a final diagnosis.^{xv} Delay in diagnosis or a wrong diagnosis increases the suffering of the patients exponentially.

2.4 Challenges in research and development

A rudimentary challenge in research and development for the majority of rare diseases is that there is relatively little known about the pathophysiology or the natural history of diseases. Rare diseases are difficult to research upon as the patient pool is very small and it often results in inadequate clinical experience. Therefore, the clinical explanation of rare diseases may be skewed or partial. The challenge becomes even greater as rare diseases are chronic in nature, where long term follow up is particularly important. As a result, rare diseases lack published data on long-term treatment outcomes and are often incompletely characterised.^{xvi}

This makes it necessary to explore international collaborations for research and also collaboration with the physicians who work on any rare disease and with patient groups and families dealing with the consequences of these disorders, to gain a better

understanding of the pathophysiology of these diseases, and the therapeutic effects that would have a meaningful impact on the lives of patients.

2.5 Challenges in treatment

2.5.1 Unavailability of treatment

Availability and access to medicines are important to reduce morbidity and mortality of rare diseases. Despite progress, no effective or safe treatment is available for many rare diseases. Even when a correct diagnosis is made, there may not be an available therapy to treat the rare condition. There are between 7000- 8000 rare medical conditions, but less than 300 have therapies available to treat them.^{xvii} About 95% rare diseases have no approved treatment. Less than 1 in 10 patients receives disease specific treatment.^{xviii}

2.5.2 Prohibitive cost of treatment

Where drugs are available, they are prohibitively expensive, placing immense strain on resources of families, health systems and donor agencies. This is because the number of persons suffering from individual rare diseases is small, hence don't constitute a significant market for drug manufacturers to develop drugs for them. Where, they do, they sell them at high costs in order to recoup the cost of research and development. At present very few pharmaceutical companies are manufacturing drugs for rare diseases globally. There are no domestic manufacturers of drugs for rare diseases in India. As a result, the cost of treatment of these rare diseases is exorbitant and constitutes a huge drain on resources of the family and the health system or may even be completely unaffordable.

Depending upon the age/body weight of the patient and the nature of the disease, the cost of medicines may vary between Rs. 5-7 lakhs per month (Rs. 60 to 84 lakhs per annum) per person (discussed below in chapter 3). Most of the affected children belong to poor families and many of them die at a young age for want of proper and timely treatment. Due to its high cost, government hospitals have not been able to provide drugs for free. Further, the methodology for evaluating orphan drug treatments is often still in experimental phase, impeding assessment of clinical relevance and cost effectiveness.

Several countries have through legislation, provided incentives to drug manufactures to encourage them to manufacture orphan drugs. According to reports, while legislations on orphan drug development, have facilitated development of orphan drugs through several incentives, they have not been able to check the prices of these drugs. For instance, the cystic fibrosis drug, Kalydeco (ivacaftor) is priced at £14,000 per patient per month. In fact each one of the world's 10 most expensive drugs is an orphan, with Soliris (eculizumab) being the most expensive at £340,000 per patient per year. Although these are prescribed to fewer patients their high prices can result in revenues equivalent to traditional blockbusters. In fact, almost a third of drugs for rare diseases now exceed £1bn in annual sales. The global orphan drugs market is expected to reach £144bn by 2020, and account for 19% of total branded prescription drug sales.^{xix} This should be a matter of careful consideration for any policy or legislative measures adopted in India for promotion of orphan drug development.

2.6 Need to balance competing priorities of public health in resource constrained settings

Rare diseases constitute a major economic burden independent of a country's size and demographics; these costs arise from increased healthcare spending and lost productivity.^{xx} The exorbitant prices of medicines, are important considerations in public health policy development with reference to treatment for rare diseases. In resource constrained settings, it is pertinent to balance competing interests of public health for achieving optimal outcome for the resources allocated. As resources are limited, there is a macroeconomic allocation dilemma: on one hand, health problems of a much larger number of persons can be addressed by allocating a relatively smaller amount, on the other, for funding treatment of rare diseases, much greater resources will be required for addressing health problems of a relatively smaller number of persons. This raises questions of fairness and reasonability. However, it also raises issues of ethics and equity of opportunity for patients to benefit, in the interest of patients suffering from rare diseases. Hence any policy on treatment of rare diseases will have to strike a balance between access to treatments with health system sustainability.

3. Development of Policy for Treatment of Rare Diseases

3.1 Need for a Policy

Rare diseases are, in most cases, serious, chronic, debilitating and life threatening, often requiring long and specialised treatments. In addition, they often result in some form of handicap, sometimes extremely severe.^{xxi} At least 80% of rare diseases have an identified genetic origin^{xxii} and hence disproportionately impact children. 50% of new cases are in children and are responsible for 35% of deaths before the age of 1 year, 10% between the ages of 1 and 5 years and 12% between 5 and 15 years.^{xxiii}

Rare diseases present a major challenge to population health and, untreated, are likely to contribute to large social and economic losses affecting more than the individual, since often family members must forego employment outside the home in order to care for their sick relatives. In contrast, treated patients, may go on to have successful careers, pay income tax and hence contribute to society. They are unlikely to require other high cost procedures, such as pain management and surgery.^{xxiv} Further, it is impossible for most families to fund treatment of rare diseases, without any governmental support.

The impact on families is often catastrophic in terms of emotional as well as financial drain, as the cost of treatment is prohibitively high. This has resulted in hapless parents of children suffering from rare diseases, whose treatment cost were not being covered by insurance or otherwise not being reimbursed, in approaching the courts seeking directions that the government provide the drugs for free, so that the treatment could continue. The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P. (C) No. 4444/2016, W.P. (C) No. 7730/2016, and W.P. (C) No. 7729/2013, had directed the Ministry of Health & Family Welfare to frame a "national policy on treatment of rare diseases".

3.2 Committees appointed by the government to make recommendations to frame a policy on rare diseases

Pursuant to the orders of the Hon'ble Court, the GOI had constituted committees with the objective to make suggestions towards framing of a 'national policy on treatment of rare diseases'. Similarly, the Government of NCT of Delhi had also appointed a high powered interdisciplinary committee on rare diseases. The various committees that were appointed were:

- Committee under Professor V.K. Paul, Head, Department of Pediatrics, AIIMS, New Delhi – 'Prioritisation of Therapy for Rare Genetic Disorders'
- Sub-committee on rare diseases in India, under Prof. I.C. Verma, Director, Institute of Medical Genetics Genomics, Sir Ganga Ram Hospital – 'Guidelines for Therapy and Management'
- A high powered interdisciplinary Committee on rare diseases under the Chairpersonship of Dr. Deepak K. Tempe, Dean, Maulana Azad Medical College (MAMC), New Delhi

3.2.1 The V.K. Paul Committee Report

in their Report 'prioritisation of therapy for rare genetic disorders', the Committee attempts to elaborate on the available therapies and prioritisation for genetic disorders based on resources, cost of therapy (one time vs. long term), outcome (evidence-based), quality of life and published guidelines.

Table 2: The Committee categorised genetic disorders into three categories and made recommendations for each category

S No.	categories	Recommendations
1	Disorders amenable to one time treatment (curative)	Prioritise funding for this category as: • One-time treatment cost ranges from 5 – 20 lacs, which is much less compared to long term therapy

		• Treatment outcome is good • Facilities for treatment are available in both private and public sector with good expertise and outcome • Funding should also include support for follow up therapy • Ceiling on existing funding limit should be made flexible
2	Disorders requiring long term/life-long therapy	• Cost of therapy for most disorders in this category is prohibitive and families cannot afford it without some support • Prioritise disorders in this category based on documented treatment outcome eg. Gaucher's disease type 1 • Develop objective criteria for inclusion and exit from therapy and for assessing response to and progress on therapy • Identify institutes with sufficient manpower, facilities and expertise to manage these diseases
3	Disorders for which no known therapy is currently available but requires supportive care	• Supportive therapy is the only available option • Need to provide care and support services

As about 80% of rare diseases are genetic in nature, the Committee recommended that it is important to provide genetic counseling and offer prenatal testing to the families with genetic disorders, as it will provide them an option of terminating the affected fetuses.

3.2.2 The I.C. Verma Sub - committee Report

The Sub-committee reviewed the burden and definitions of rare diseases globally as well as in India, availability of drugs and treatment options for various rare diseases, cost estimation and evidence on treatment outcomes, considered the challenges in treatment of rare diseases, reviewed the funding mechanisms for treatment of rare diseases in several countries and made recommendations for mechanisms of approving and regulating access and other inputs for formulating a national plan on rare diseases.

The Sub-committee specifically evaluated the availability and efficacy of treatment and cost of rare disorders of immediate relevance in India, namely - Lysosomal Storage Disorders (LSDs), which is treatable with Enzyme Replacement Therapies (ERTs). The LSDs include – Gaucher Disease, Mucopolysaccharidosis (MPS) Type 1 (Hurler-Schlie), MPS Type II (Hunter), MPS Iva, MPS VI, Pompe Disease and Fabry Disease.

The sub-committee calculated the annual cost of the available therapies calculated for a 10 kg child.

Table 3. Approximate Annual Cost of ERTs

Disease	Enzyme	Weight of the patient	Approximate annual cost (INR)*
Gaucher	Cerezyme (Genzyme)	10kg	39,84,768
Gaucher	Velaglycerase (Shire)	10kg	71,86,340
Gaucher	Taliglucerase (Pfizer)	-	No information available
MPS 1	Aldurazyme (Genzyme)	10kg	46,78,464
Pompe	Myozyme (Genzyme)	10kg	48,94,368
Fabry	Fabrazyme (Genzyme)	10kg	18,29,712
MPSII	Elaprase (Shire)	10kg	44,00,000
MPSII (0.5mg/kg/week)	Hunterase (Green Cross-Korea)	10kg	1,72,22,400

Vial 2mg	3mg/6ml (0.5mg/ml) vial 1,43,520/- per vial		
MPS VI (1mg/kg every week) Vial 5mg	Naglazyme (USD 1755 per vial)	10kg	1,09,51,200
MPS IV (2mg/kg/every week) Vial 5mg	Vimizim (USD 1068/Vial)	10kg	1,33,28,640

*including customs duty, CVD. Taxes, Octroi etc.

Source: I.C. Verma Sub-committee Report

The Sub-committee similarly evaluated the treatment, efficacy and cost of Inborn Errors of Metabolism (ELMs).

The Sub-committee notes that various countries have used different approaches for funding treatment for rare diseases. As treatment is out of reach of most families, many countries cover the cost through their National Health Services, for instance, most of the European countries. In USA once a therapy is approved by the FDA, the insurance companies cover the cost. In many emerging economies, the government funds the treatment of rare diseases, for instance in Egypt, Thailand, Argentina, Chile, Peru, Serbia, Malaysia and Philippines.

It therefore, concludes that there is a need for the government to play a role in evolving a funding mechanism for treatment of rare diseases and made recommendations for formulation of a national policy for treatment of rare diseases.

3.2.3 The D.K. Tempe Committee Report

The Committee considered the prohibitive costs of treatment and competing priorities of public health and resource allocation; inadequate prevalence data; and still unravelling diagnostic and treatment landscape. It suggested that the national policy should cover treatment in a phased manner, starting with rare genetic disorders, for which treatment with good clinical outcome is available. The policy could be progressively revised with

increased knowledge and clarity about epidemiological data, diagnostic and treatment options, evidence on clinical outcomes, reduced cost of drugs, etc.

Expanding on the challenge of economic evaluation and resource allocation for rare diseases, the committee gave the example of cost of ERTs to treat LSDs (Gaucher, Pompe, Fabry etc.) and stated that Enzyme Replacement Therapy (ERTs) is very costly and life-long and the public health system cannot support it in a cost-effective way with its own funds. The annual recurring cost of one patient with ERT could range from 1.8 – 17.0 lakhs per kg of body weight. This means that for a child weighing 10kgs, the cost would be between 18 lakhs to 1 crore 70 lakhs. This is a huge cost in a resource constrained public health system. This amount could treat 400 TB^{xxv} or 400 HIV patients in a year. This cost could also potentially treat 10-100 patients with Type 1 diabetes (annual cost estimated at Rs.18,000/- based on a study in South India in 2011),^{xxvi} which is also a lifelong and life threatening disease. This cost could also potentially prevent 10,000 malaria cases a year or prevent almost 600 under five children being hospitalised with pneumonia annually.

Thus, the committee cautioned that when resources are limited in the public health system, appropriate choices need to be made taking into account the larger canvas of health problems that affect the population and the economic consequences of each life saved.

In conclusion, acknowledging the severity and impact of rare diseases on patients and their families; the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and the consequent recommendation of the government sub-committee, there is a need to chalk out a roadmap for facilitating access to treatment for rare diseases. However, keeping in mind the prohibitive cost of treatment and the other formidable challenges as discussed above, appreciated from the perspective of public health principle of evidence informed resource allocation for garnering optimal outcome for the resources allocated, makes it imperative that the same be done in a phased manner.

3.3 Policy Recommendations

The committees made several recommendations, some of which are overlapping, towards formulation of a 'national policy for treatment of rare diseases'. The

recommendations go beyond treatment funding and takes a more holistic approach towards rare diseases, encompassing suggestions towards - prevention, awareness creation, training, research and development in treatment and diagnosis, development of orphan drugs, reducing cost of orphan drugs, provision of insurance coverage etc.,

The recommendations fall in the domain of several ministries and departments, which is recognition of the fact that an effective response to rare diseases will require a comprehensive and convergent intersectoral effort. The Policy Directions in the next section, reflect on this recognition and delineates the roles for ministries and departments, in addition to the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare.

DRAFT

4. Policy Direction

In light of the severity and impact of rare diseases on patients and their families and the directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi, a roadmap for facilitating access to treatment for rare diseases has been prepared. The recommendations of the government appointed committees have been considered while making the policy direction.

4.1. Implementation Mechanism

The Policy highlights the measures and steps that ought to be taken immediately and also those that can be implemented progressively in phases. It also highlights the role of various ministries and departments, which at present is indicative and can be further extended based on adequate evidence and data gathered from epidemiological studies and research.

The Policy envisages setting up a Consultative Committee for implementing the policy in coordination with various ministries and departments. There will also be a Technical cum Administrative Committee within MoHFW, both at the Central and State Levels, for handling the corpus fund and technical issues related thereto. The ministries, including MoHFW will design their own roadmap for implementation of the activities indicated below.

4.2 Strategies for implementation

A. Immediate Measures

- Constituting a Consultative Committee (inter-ministerial) at National Level
- Constituting a Technical cum Administrative Committee at Central as well as State levels for management of and release of corpus funds and developing various technical requirements for identification and treatment of rare diseases
- Creating a corpus fund at Central and State Level for treatment of rare diseases
- Creating a Web-based application for online application process
- On the basis of the current knowledge, developing materials for generating

awareness in the general public, patients and their families and health care providers. To be revised with availability of new information and knowledge

B. Long term measures for progressive realisation through a roadmap with phases and benchmarks

- Creating a patient registry for rare diseases
- Putting systems in place for reporting and data collection
- Conducting epidemiological study to estimate prevalence of rare diseases
- Arriving at a definition of rare disease based on epidemiological study and reporting
- Taking measures to improve research and development for treatment, diagnostic modalities, care and support, drug development of orphan drugs etc.
- Taking measures, legislative or otherwise, to create a conducive environment for encouraging local manufacturing of orphan drugs and to control the prices of drugs to make them more affordable
- Encouraging funding support from PSUs and corporate sector and exploring other options for sustainable funding for the corpus
- Ensuring insurance coverage for rare diseases, including genetic disorders
- Allowing import of ERTs and removing import duty on them
- Strengthening/establishing laboratories for supporting technical activities required for rare diseases

4.3 Role of ministries and departments

The activities indicated below are indicative and can be expanded depending on improvement in our knowledge and understanding of rare diseases and the type of response it will require, based on availability of data and evidence generated through research and studies.

4.3.1 Ministry of Health and Family Welfare

- a) Health Ministry to create a cell on rare diseases within itself, to be headed by a Joint Secretary and constituting 2 consultants. It will act as a nodal agency and coordinate all the activities of the Health Ministry on rare diseases.
- b) Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) to constitute a division or identify one of its existing divisions, to promote research and development in the field of rare diseases for diagnosis and treatment of rare diseases, including through international/regional collaborations.
- c) Define TOR and responsibilities of Consultative Committee and also of the Technical cum Administrative Committee for Corpus funds (discussed below).
- d) Create a patient registry with information to practitioners and a reporting system of any patient diagnosed with a rare disease. This will be housed in ICMR. Patient registries may serve as appropriate tool to aid in understanding the natural history and clinical characteristics of rare diseases and assess the long-term outcomes of treatment.
- e) Take measures to collect epidemiological data on rare diseases.
- f) Take measures to create awareness among medical professionals, patients and their families and general public on rare diseases.
- g) Drug Controller General of India (DCGI) to consider feasibility of amending Drugs and Cosmetics Act or otherwise taking measures under it, to include appropriate provisions on orphan drugs including provisions to facilitate clinical trials and import of ERTs.
- h) For patients in the BPL category who get identified with rare diseases, make available for free and supportive services, whether in private or government hospital.
- i) ICD 11 classifies about 5000 rare diseases. The centres identified by the Central/State government for categorising rare diseases in India, need to group/put rare diseases under already identified disease classification under ICD 11. If any new rare disease is identified, steps will be taken by the Ministry for sending required evidence to WHO for inclusion of the disease under ICD classification.
- j) Central/State Governments will support in strengthening/establishing

laboratories required for various technical activities related to rare diseases.

- k) As a preventive measure, consider feasibility of providing pre-conception and ante-natal genetic counseling and screening programmes for diagnosing genetic disorders, which would provide a choice to parents about giving birth to children with genetic disorders, especially to families that have a diagnosed genetic disorder or a high risk for it.

- l) Central/State Government shall utilize existing new born screening programme for early detection of treatable rare diseases, to the extent considered feasible.

m) **Creating a National and State Level Corpus**

1. The Government of India (GOI) to set up a corpus fund with the initial amount of Rs. 100 crore towards funding treatment of rare genetic diseases. Resources allocated for treatment of rare diseases can be progressively scaled up with regular improvements in availability of epidemiological data, cost estimation studies and measures taken to encourage development of orphan drugs and for reduction in prices of drugs.
2. The States to have a similar corpus at the state level and the GOI will contribute funds towards the State corpus to the ratio of 60:40 out of the central pool. It would be upto the states to have a corpus of a larger amount. This funding arrangement will be part of the PIP process.
3. The corpus fund will be dedicated for rare 'genetic' disorders. It will not fund treatment for rare blood disorders (hemophilia, thalassemia and sickle cell anemia) or for rare cancers, as separate government programs for them exist already.
4. The corpus can be used for part funding of the treatment cost depending on the type of treatment (one time/long term), cost of treatment, clinical outcome or other related considerations.
5. To ensure sustainability of the corpus, the Public Sector Undertakings (PSUs) and corporate houses, to be encouraged to make contributions as per Section 135 and Schedule VII of the Companies Act as well as the provisions of the Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Rules, 2014 (CSR

Rules)

n) Creating a web-based application for online application process

To ensure timely decisions and release of funds, a web-based application would be developed for creating online mechanism for applying to the corpus. Central government will create this web-based application within 6-12 months of the release of this policy. It will have the details of the corpus and instructions and mechanism for applying for funding. It would be open to both individuals as well as institutions as well as state government to apply for funds by entering details on the web application as per instructions provided.

4.3.2 Ministry of Chemicals and Fertilizers, Department of Pharmaceuticals

- a) Constitute a Cell within Dept. of Pharmaceuticals to promote drug development and affordability of drugs for rare diseases.
- b) Consider mechanisms, legislative or otherwise, for creating a conducive environment for indigenous manufacture of drugs for rare diseases at affordable prices.

4.3.3 Ministry of Corporate Affairs

Encourage PSUs and corporate houses to contribute to the corpus as per the Section 135 and Schedule VII of the Companies Act as well as the provisions of the Companies (Corporate Social Responsibility Policy) Rules, 2014 (CRS Rules). Preventive and promotive health care is included in the list in the Schedule for CSR activities.

4.3.4 Ministry of finance

- a) Department of Revenue to consider removing import duty on ERTs.
- b) Department of Financial Services to explore, on the basis of actuarial studies, whether insurance sector should cover cost of treatment of rare diseases and amend the Insurance Act accordingly. It is necessary to bring in health insurance reforms through IRDA (Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India) and government intervention.

4.3.5 Ministry of Labour and Employment

Employees State Insurance Corporation (ESIC) to explore whether the ceiling limit on funding treatment for rare diseases can be increased through suitable amendments.

4.4 Implementation Framework on way forward

Each Ministry and concerned department should develop an implementation framework on actions points to be taken by them on their sector wise response to tackling rare diseases. The implementation framework should have a clear targeted approach, complete with indicators and benchmarks (where applicable) and progressive realisation through phases.

4.5 Setting Up of Committees

4.5.1 Constitution of Consultative Committee

Government of India (GOI) to constitute a Consultative Committee headed by Secretary Health to coordinate the initiatives taken by the different ministries and departments. Its meetings could be held at least once in a year.

4.5.2 Constitution of Technical cum Administrative Committee

- a) There will be a Central Technical cum Administrative Committee for the national corpus. It will be chaired by the Additional Secretary/Joint Secretary and have such members as considered necessary.
- b) There will be Technical cum Administrative Committee at the State level for the State Corpus. It will be chaired by the Secretary and have such members as considered necessary.
- c) The Committees will meet once in three months.
- d) The State corpus could be operated at the State level under the oversight of the Central Committee.

4.5.3 The Broad Role of the Technical cum Administrative Committees:

- a) Central Committee will develop a priority list of rare disorders for which funding support will be considered, on the basis of – disease severity, availability of treatment, reasonably proven clinical outcome, cost data, cost effectiveness. It will develop the priority list with due regard to the recommendations of the government appointed committees.
- b) Central Committee will develop objective inclusion/exclusion criteria on the basis of which applications for funding support will be decided and the extent of funding to be provided will be determined. The inclusion/exclusion criteria will include household income of patient, curability of condition and cost effectiveness etc. This will also be developed according to the recommendations of the committee.
- c) The Central Committee will also develop criteria on the basis of which the progress of the patients whose treatment is part funded, will be reviewed.
- d) The Central and State Committees will identify and accredit institutions that will carry out diagnosis of rare diseases, and institutions that will provide treatment for rare diseases, and institutions that will both diagnose and treat rare diseases.
- e) The State Committees will review the applications received on the website and decide on the applications – whether to fund and fund to what extent - as per the details entered and the criteria developed by the Central Committee.
- f) The State committees will also review the progress of the case and evaluate whether the clinical condition of the patient is being improved by the therapy.

REFERENCES

- ⁱ Richter, T., Nestler-Parr, S., Babela, R., Khan, Z. M., Tesoro, T., Molsen, E., & Hughes, D. A. (2015). Rare Disease Terminology and Definitions – A Systematic Global Review: Report of the ISPOR Rare Disease Special Interest Group [Electronic version]. *Value in Health*, 18, 906-914. Available at: <https://www.ispor.org/raredisease-terms-definitions.pdf>
- ⁱⁱ Orphanet. (2016). *List of rare diseases and synonyms: Listed in alphabetical order*. In *Orphanet Report Series - Rare Diseases Collection*. Available at: http://www.orpha.net/orphacom/cahiers/docs/GB/List_of_rare_diseases_in_alphabetical_order.pdf
- Bali, R. K., Bos, L., Gibbons, M. C., & Ibell, S. R. (Eds.). (2014). *Rare Diseases in the Age of Health 2.0*. N.p.: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Institute of Medicine. (2010). *Rare Diseases and Orphan Products: Accelerating Research and Development*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.
- European Medicines Agency. European public assessment reports. Retrieved April 9, 2017, from http://www.ema.europa.eu/ema/index.jsp?curl=pages/medicines/landing/epar_search.jsp
- ⁱⁱⁱ Global Genes. Statistics and Figures on Prevalence of Genetic and Rare Disease. Retrieved April 9, 2017, from: <https://globalgenes.org/rare-diseases-facts-statistics/>
- ^{iv} Rodwell C., Aymé S. (Eds.). (2014). *2014 Report on the State of the Art of Rare Disease Activities in Europe*. N.p.: EUCERD. Available at <http://www.eucerd.eu/upload/file/Reports/2014ReportStateofArtRDActivities.pdf>
- Council of the European Union (2009). Council Recommendation of 8 June 2009 on an action in the field of rare diseases (2009/C 151/02) [Electronic version]. *Official Journal of the European Union*, 151, 7-10.
- ^v Gammie, T., Lu, C. Y., & Babar, Z. U.-D. (2015). Access to Orphan Drugs: A Comprehensive Review of Legislations, Regulations and Policies in 35 Countries. *PLoS ONE*, 10(10).
- ^{vi} Bhattacharya, S., et al. (2016). Rare Diseases in India: Current Knowledge and New Possibilities [Electronic version]. *Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy*, 82(4).
- ^{vii} Bhattacharya, S., et al. (2016). Rare Diseases in India: Current Knowledge and New Possibilities [Electronic version]. *Proceedings of the Indian National Science Academy*, 82(4).
- ^{viii} Report of I.C. Verma Sub-Committee, 'Guidelines for Therapy and Management'
- ^{ix} Indian Organization for Rare Diseases (2015). *A Journey Together: Rare Diseases and Orphan Products in India*. Retrieved April 9, 2017 from http://www.orpha.net/actor/Orphanews/2015/doc/Hyderabad_meeting.pdf
- ^x Richter, T., Nestler-Parr, S., Babela, R., Khan, Z. M., Tesoro, T., Molsen, E., & Hughes, D. A. (2015). Rare Disease Terminology and Definitions – A Systematic Global Review: Report of the ISPOR Rare Disease Special Interest Group [Electronic version]. *Value in Health*, 18, 906-914. Available at: <https://www.ispor.org/raredisease-terms-definitions.pdf>
- ^{xi} Richter, T., Nestler-Parr, S., Babela, R., Khan, Z. M., Tesoro, T., Molsen, E., & Hughes, D. A. (2015). Rare Disease Terminology and Definitions – A Systematic Global Review: Report of the ISPOR Rare Disease

Special Interest Group [Electronic version]. *Value in Health*, 18, 906-914. Available at: <https://www.ispor.org/raredisease-terms-definitions.pdf>

^{xii} Panju, A.H. & Bell C. M. (2010). Policy alternatives for treatments for rare diseases [Electronic version]. *CMAJ*, 182(17), 787-792.

^{xiii} Toumi, M., Pashos, C. L., Korchagina, D., et al. Challenges in Assessing and Appraising Rare Disease Diagnostics & Treatments [Electronic version]. Available at: <https://www.ispor.org/sigs/RareDisease/8-8%20Challenges%20in%20Assessing%20%20Appraising%20Rare%20Disease%20Diagnostics%20%20Treatments%20-%20DRAFT%20for%20REVIEW.pdf>

^{xiv} Graf von der Schulenburg, J. M. & Frank, M. (2015). Rare is frequent and frequent is costly: rare diseases as a challenge for health care systems [Electronic version]. *The European Journal of Health Economics*, 16(2), 113-118. Available at: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10198-014-0639-8>

^{xv} CADTH. (2016). Drugs for Rare Diseases: Evolving Trends in Regulatory and Health Technology Assessment Perspective [Electronic version]. *CADTH Environmental Scan*, 42. Available at: <https://www.cadth.ca/drugs-rare-diseases-evolving-trends-regulatory-and-health-technology-assessment-perspectives>

^{xvi} Mohanty, R., Barick, U., Gowda, A., Nair, A., Mittal, S., & Patil, A. (2016). Scope for Patient Registries in India. *International Journal of Medical Research & Health Sciences*, 5(4), 58-61.

^{xvii} Jackman, D. (2012). People With Rare Diseases Face a Host of Unique Challenges. *BIOTechNOW*, Retrieved April 9, 2017 from <http://www.biotech-now.org/health/2012/05/people-with-rare-diseases-face-a-host-of-unique-challenges>

^{xviii} Research America (2015). Investment in research saves lives and money. Retrieved April 9, 2017 from http://www.researchamerica.org/sites/default/files/Rare%20Diseases%20Fact%20Sheet_2015.pdf

^{xix} Bangor University (2016). Pharmaceutical Companies Are Profiting from Rare Diseases. *Drug Discovery & Development*. Retrieved April 9, 2017 from <https://www.dddmag.com/news/2016/10/pharmaceutical-companies-are-profiting-rare-diseases>

^{xx} Dharssi, S., Wong-Rieger, D., Harold, M. & Terry, S. (2017). Review of 11 national policies for rare diseases in the context of key patient needs [Electronic version]. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases*, 12(63). Available at: <https://ojrd.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13023-017-0618-0>

Franco P. (2013). Orphan drugs: the regulatory environment [Electronic version]. *Drug Discov Today*, 18(3-4), 163-172.

Angelis A., Tordrup D. & Kanavos P. (2015). Socio-economic burden of rare diseases: a systematic review of cost of illness evidence [Electronic version]. *Health Policy*, 119(7), 964-979.

^{xxi} Schieppati A., Henter J.I., Daina E. & Aperia A. (2008). Why rare diseases are an important medical and social issue [Electronic version]. *Lancet*, 371(9629), 2039-2041.

^{xxii} Global Genes. Statistics and Figures on Prevalence of Genetic and Rare Disease. Retrieved April 9, 2017, from: <https://globalgenes.org/rare-diseases-facts-statistics/>

^{xxiii} National Rare Disease Plan for Ireland (2014-2018). Available at: <http://health.gov.ie/wp-content/uploads/2014/07/EditedFile.pdf>

^{xxiv} Hyry H. I., Roos J. C. P., Manuel J. & Cox T. M. (2013). The legal imperative for treating rare disorders [Electronic version]. *Orphanet Journal of Rare Diseases*, 8(135). Available at <https://ojrd.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1750-1172-8-135>

^{xxv} Laurence Y.V., Griffiths U.K. & Vassall A. (2015). Costs to Health Services and the Patient of Treating Tuberculosis: A Systematic Literature Review [Electronic version]. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 33(9). 939-955.

^{xxvi} Shobhana, R., Rama Rao P., Lavanya A., Williams R., Padma C., Vijay V. & Ramachandran A. (2002). Costs incurred by families having Type 1 diabetes in a developing country. A Study from South India [Electronic version]. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 55, 45-48.

DRAFT